confident that there had been no breach of research integrity on the part of the authors, and that every effort had been made to achieve consensus on the submitted manuscript. The Lancet also sought advice from the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), who agreed with the journal’s suggestion to invite Phadke to write a Correspondence letter for publication outlining her concerns and for the journal to write an accompanying Comment to document the course of events.

Disputes among authors are one of the most difficult situations for editors to deal with. In this case, the editors felt—and COPE agreed—that all possible attempts to come to an agreement had been made. In our opinion, publication of these important data, with complete disclosure, is the best course of action.

Pia Pini, Sabine Kleinert
The Lancet, London NW1 7BY, UK


Risk of HIV transmission in discordant couples

In view of the fact that successful antiretroviral treatment of HIV dramatically reduces viraemia and that the risk of HIV transmission in discordant heterosexual couples depends on viral load, it seems remarkable how muted discussion has been of the potential role of treatment in reducing risks of infection. However, a consensus statement by the Swiss National AIDS Commission advised that after 6 months of treatment, if the virus is undetectable in the plasma and in the absence of another sexually transmitted infection, the risk of transmitting HIV is negligible.

There has been subsequent debate on the validity and wisdom of the statement, including in today’s Lancet in which David Wilson and colleagues illustrate the implications of over-optimism about reduced transmission in discordant couples. Using a model of the relation between viral load and transmission risk per sex act, they show how the risk of a transmission event could accumulate over many sex acts. A major assumption needs to be emphasised when reviewing the predictions from this paper: the authors extrapolate the model beyond the available data, assuming that there is a continuous reduction in risk rather than a threshold below which no transmission is possible. The Swiss statement is based on the different assumption that there is such a threshold, as was observed in a study in Rakai, Uganda, where no transmission events occurred when viral copy numbers were below 1500 copies per mL. Unfortunately, small sample sizes mean that neither assumption is secure, and there are fewer data for men having sex with men.

Where Wilson and colleagues agree with the Swiss statement is that HIV transmission will be much reduced when antiretroviral treatment suppresses plasma viral loads. They then show how combining condom use and antiretrovirals further reduces the chances of transmission. What they do not do is compare condom use alone with suppression of viraemia through treatment. If, because of incorrect or inconsistent use, condoms are only 95% efficacious, the safe sex implied by the use of condoms would be less safe than reducing viral loads through treatment (figure). Some people might be very risk averse and want to combine treatment and condoms, which, given the uncertainty over whether there is a threshold viral load that allows transmission, may be wise. Other people might have reasons for foregoing one of the protections, especially in attempts to conceive. Denying an effect of treatment on risk of transmission would be dishonest and futile, because well-informed patients will assume an effect. In counselling and advising patients about the risks of transmission, providing a balanced estimate of the risk over the course of many sex acts, as derived by Wilson and colleagues, would be better than trying to totally eliminate risk.

We need to separate out the role of treatment for a couple and effects of treatment in the population as a whole. At a population level, there has been an upsurge of risk in some communities, identified by an increase in other sexually transmitted infections and an increasing incidence of HIV. However, much of this upsurge will be due to risk-taking behaviour, influenced indirectly by the availability of antiretrovirals, by those who are
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susceptible or infected without being aware of it. The use of antiretrovirals should directly decrease the incidence of infection, but this population-level effect will be limited unless diagnosis is more timely and treatment is used much earlier during an infection for public-health reasons rather than necessarily for clinical care. Moving treatment earlier to when CD4 cell counts fall below 350 cells per μL goes some way in this direction, as do efforts to improve rates of diagnosis in those people who are infected but unaware of their HIV status.

We should be concerned about the spread of other sexually transmitted infections if condoms are not used. Although trials suggest that the control of asymptomatic sexually transmitted infections has limited effect on the current spread of HIV, the situation might differ if antiretroviral treatment was being used to prevent HIV transmission; asymptomatically infected might, through local HIV replication, reintroduce a risk of transmission in a discordant couple. In many ways, the Swiss statement provides the opportunity for positive public-health messages, by promoting adherence to treatment and concern over other sexually transmitted infections. The use of condoms, in addition to antiretrovirals, to further reduce risk and prevent other sexually transmitted infections can then also be promoted.
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**HIV/AIDS in children: a disaster in the making**

The Countdown to 2015 meeting earlier this year identified HIV/AIDS as a factor or a leading cause of preventable death in children in the 42 countries with lack of progress towards the target of Millennium Development Goal 4. The identification of HIV/AIDS as a leading cause of death is a particular tragedy because HIV/AIDS in children is almost entirely preventable. 90% of childhood HIV infections now occur in sub-Saharan Africa. Without treatment, HIV in children is lethal. There are large and unacceptable inequities in access to HIV prevention and treatment for children. 420 000 children worldwide were newly infected with HIV in 2007; 330 000 children died of AIDS, mostly in sub-Saharan Africa. In the 2008 Countdown statistics, high HIV prevalence was associated with the highest rates of child mortality in those aged under 5 years and...