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Learning from the past

- Last WHO Global Strategy published in 1993
  - Adopted by Ministerial Conference in Amsterdam with 450 participants
  - Developed through three interregional meetings in Brazzaville, New Delhi and Brasilia in 1991 and 1992

- Global Malaria Action Plan – RBM Partnership
  - Developed through broad consultative process led by a team of consultants and launched in 2008
  - Refers to WHO technical strategies with an aim to improve advocacy, resource mobilization and partner harmonization
The need for a new Global Strategy

- The landscape has changed:
  - A decade of significant investment and scale-up of implementation has led to impressive reductions in burden
  - Heterogeneity within countries and regions will require improved surveillance to target the at risk population
  - Resources are likely to remain constrained and increased efficiency will be necessary to sustain progress

- Global Malaria Action Plan II – RBM Partnership
  - Board has developed TORs for an ad hoc task force
  - TORs refer to GMAP II being based on the Global Technical Strategy for Malaria Control and Elimination 2016-2025
Timing and WHA resolution

- Should the fact that a policy recommendation on the RTS,S vaccine will not be made until late 2015 impact the development of the GTS?

- To what extent should the GTS go through the WHA process? This may impact the likelihood of the GTS being ready in time for a 2015 launch, and for its ability to serve as the foundation for the GMAP II.

- Should the timeline be even further accelerated (to have the strategy finalized by late 2014), so that we ensure the GTS does strongly shape the development of the GMAP II?
Consultation

- How should the consultation process be structured to facilitate ownership, buy in, alignment and harmonization with regions, countries and partners?
- How to optimize consultation while minimizing bottlenecks?
- Should there be an ERG or a Steering Committee or both; and what are the criteria for constitution?
Differentiation

- How do we work collectively to make clear the differences between but also the interconnected nature of the GTS and GMAPII?
Stratification

- How detailed should stratification be in the global plan, vs making the principle of micro-stratification a global approach for developing country-level plans?
Goals

● Should the GTS establish new impact goals for malaria control and elimination by 2025?
Input from Global Team discussion

- Current technical strategies are fragmented (GPARC, GPIRM), it will be useful to pull these documents together
- Global Technical Strategy should not be too prescriptive or detailed; Regions and Countries will need to adapt principles to their settings
- Gather current knowledge, evidence and goals from Countries and Regions to support existing ambitious goals
- Input from Regions and Countries will be critical; it would be useful to have a draft document to provide feedback on
- ADG experience from other teams: WHA resolution is powerful, but process is heavy and challenging; a two step process may be more efficient and allow more flexibility in timing