PROCEDURE FOR PREQUALIFICATION OF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS.
PROPOSAL FOR MODIFICATION

DRAFT FOR FEEDBACK

Note from Secretariat: This proposal includes major changes (only) that have been highlighted. For the current, original text as adopted in its revised form in 2008, please see: http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/pharmprep/pdf_trs953.pdf#page=145.

Any feedback should be addressed to Dr A. Gould, Manager, Prequalification Programme, Quality Assurance and Safety: Medicines, World Health Organization, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland, e-mail: goulda@who.int, by 2 November 2010, with a copy to gaspardm@who.int.

In order to receive our guidelines electronically, if not already the case, please let us have your e-mail address (to bonnyw@who.int) which we will add to our electronic mailing list.
SCHEDULE FOR THE PROPOSED ADOPTION PROCESS OF DOCUMENT QAS/10.392:
PROCEDURE FOR PREQUALIFICATION OF
PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date/Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposal to modify the Procedure for prequalification of pharmaceutical products based on internal consultation by the WHO Prequalification Programme</td>
<td>7 September 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mailing of proposed modification for comments</td>
<td>September 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation to the WHO Expert Committee on Specifications for Pharmaceutical Preparations</td>
<td>18-22 October 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further action as necessary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. **Introduction**

The World Health Organization (WHO) provides United Nations agencies with advice on the acceptability, in principle, of pharmaceutical products for procurement by such agencies.

This activity of WHO aims to facilitate access to priority essential medicines that meet WHO-recommended norms and standards of acceptable quality. WHO undertakes a comprehensive evaluation of the quality of pharmaceutical products, based on information submitted by the manufacturers of such products or other applicants, and on an inspection of the corresponding manufacturing facilities and clinical sites. This is done through a standardized procedure which is based on WHO-recommended quality standards. The quality of pharmaceutical products is obviously of crucial importance for the safety and efficacy of such products.

The pharmaceutical products found to meet the WHO-recommended quality standards are included in the list of medicines, as manufactured at the specified manufacturing sites, which are considered to be acceptable, in principle, for procurement by United Nations agencies. The list of
prequalified pharmaceutical products is principally intended for use by United Nations agencies – including the Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS), United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF) and United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) – to guide their procurement decisions. The growing list of pharmaceutical products that have been found to meet WHO-recommended standards may, however, also be of interest to other organizations and countries wishing to engage in the bulk procurement of pharmaceutical products.

Inclusion in the list does not imply any approval by WHO of the pharmaceutical products and manufacturing sites in question (which is the sole prerogative of national authorities). Moreover, inclusion in the list does not constitute an endorsement or warranty by WHO of the fitness of any product for a particular purpose, including its safety and/or efficacy in the treatment of specific diseases.

2. Glossary
The definitions given below apply to the terms used in this procedure. They may have different meanings in other contexts.

*active pharmaceutical ingredient (API)*
Any substance or combination of substances used in a finished pharmaceutical product (FPP), intended to furnish pharmacological activity or to otherwise have direct effect in the diagnosis, cure, mitigation, treatment or prevention of disease, or to have direct effect in restoring, correcting or modifying physiological functions in human beings.

*applicant*
The person or entity who, by the deadline mentioned in the invitation, submits an expression of interest (EOI) to participate in this procedure in respect of the product(s) listed in the invitation, together with the required documentation on such product(s).

*contract research organization (CRO)*
An organization (commercial, academic or other) to which an applicant may have transferred some of its tasks and obligations in relation to the conduct of clinical studies with the product submitted to WHO for assessment under the current procedure.

*finished pharmaceutical product (FPP)*
A finished dosage form of a pharmaceutical product, which has undergone all stages of manufacture, including packaging in its final container and labelling.

*invitation for expressions of interest or invitation*
Invitation calling upon interested parties (e.g. manufacturers or other applicants) to submit an expression of interest (EOI) to WHO by a specified deadline for the purpose of participating in the WHO prequalification procedure in respect of the product(s) listed in the invitation. Such an EOI should be accompanied by the required documentation on the product(s) in question.

*manufacturer*
A company that produces, packages, repackages, labels and/or relabels pharmaceutical products.

*pharmaceutical product*
Any substance or combination of substances marketed or manufactured to be marketed for treating or preventing disease in human beings, or with a view to making a medical diagnosis in human beings, or to restoring, correcting or modifying physiological functions in human beings.
**prequalification**

Standardized quality assessment procedure of WHO to evaluate the acceptability, in principle, of pharmaceutical products for purchase by United Nations agencies. Agencies using information resulting from the prequalification procedure should perform additional steps of qualification prior to purchasing, such as ensuring financial stability and standing of the supplier, ability to supply the required quantities, security of the supply chain, preshipment quality control and other related aspects.

**stringent regulatory authority (SRA)**

For the purpose of this procedure, a stringent regulatory authority (SRA) is:

- the medicine regulatory authority in a country which is (a) a member of the ICH (EU member, Japan and USA); or (b) an ICH Observer, being the European Free Trade Association (EFTA) as represented by Swiss Medic and Health Canada (as may be updated from time to time); or (c) a regulatory authority associated with an ICH member through a legally binding mutual recognition agreement including Australia, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway (as may be updated from time to time); and

- only in relation to good manufacturing practices (hereinafter referred to as GMP) inspections: A medicine regulatory authority that is a member of the Pharmaceutical Inspection Co-operation Scheme (PIC/S) as specified at [http://www.picscheme.org](http://www.picscheme.org).

*[Note from the Secretariat: Your comments would be appreciated.]*

### 3. Purpose and principles

The purpose of this WHO procedure is to evaluate whether certain pharmaceutical products (considered by WHO to be vital for the prevention and treatment of HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria and other diseases, or for reproductive health) meet the requirements recommended by WHO and are manufactured in compliance with current good manufacturing practices (hereinafter referred to as GMP).

This procedure established by WHO is based on the following principles:

- the medicines eligible for prequalification are listed in invitations for expression of interest (EOI) published on the WHO web site
  ([http://who.int/prequal/info_applicants/info_for_applicants_EOIs.htm](http://who.int/prequal/info_applicants/info_for_applicants_EOIs.htm));
- a general understanding of the production and quality control activities of the manufacturer;
- assessment of pharmaceutical product data and information on safety, efficacy and quality submitted by the manufacturer, including product formulation, manufacture and test data and results;
- inspection of FPP and API manufacturing site(s) for compliance with GMP;
- inspection of clinical testing units or CROs performing clinical trials for compliance with current good clinical practices (hereinafter referred to as GCP) and current good laboratory practices (hereinafter referred to as GLP);
- reliance on the information supplied by stringent national medicines regulatory authorities;
- random sampling and testing of pharmaceutical products supplied;
- handling of complaints and testing of pharmaceutical products supplied; and
- monitoring of complaints from agencies and countries.
WHO may collaborate with national medicines regulatory authorities regarding dossier assessments and inspections. Subject to the terms of section 4 below, the prequalification of a product may also be based on approval by a SRA.

WHO recommends that applicants expressing interest in participation in the prequalification procedure inform the national medicines regulatory authorities in the country of manufacture of their intention and request them to collaborate with WHO in the quality assessment process. It is recommended that applicants provide the national medicines regulatory authorities with the necessary authorization to discuss the relevant product files with WHO representatives during dossier assessment and site inspections (subject to appropriate confidentiality provisions, if necessary).

Footnote deleted - included in main text

4. Steps of the procedure

WHO undertakes a comprehensive evaluation of the quality of pharmaceutical products, based on information submitted by the applicants, and inspection of the relevant manufacturing and clinical sites. (A flowchart showing the prequalification process is provided in Appendix 1.)

At regular intervals, and also taking into consideration pertinent input received from relevant United Nations agencies, WHO will publish an invitation to interested parties, requesting them to voluntarily participate in this procedure in respect of the products mentioned in the invitation.

By submitting an expression of interest (EOI), the applicant undertakes to share information with WHO on all relevant aspects of manufacture and control of the specified products along with changes made and/or planned. Interested applicants provide the necessary information to WHO by submitting a product dossier in the prescribed format, and other information as requested.

The procedure will normally include:

− assessment of product dossiers, which must include product data and information as specified in the guidelines for submission, available on the WHO web site (www.who.int/prequal);
− inspection of manufacturing sites of FPPs and APIs, to assess compliance with GMP;
− inspection of clinical sites (if applicable), to assess compliance with GCP and GLP as appropriate.

If the evaluation above demonstrates that a product and its corresponding manufacturing (and clinical) site(s) meet WHO-recommended standards, the product will be included in the list of pharmaceutical products that are considered to be acceptable, in principle, for procurement by United Nations agencies.

WHO reserves the right to terminate the evaluation of a specific product if the applicant is not able to provide the required information, and/or is unable to implement any corrective actions which WHO may require within a specified time period, or when the information supplied is inadequate to complete this procedure.

WHO recognizes the evaluation of relevant products by SRAs which apply standards for quality equivalent to those recommended by WHO.

Provided that the national medicines regulatory authority is willing to share certain information with WHO on the products in question, WHO will consider such products for inclusion in the list
of WHO-prequalified products. It will do so as and when information about such products becomes available to WHO and when the holders of the regulatory approval of such products express their interest in having these products prequalified by WHO. These products will be added to the list of products prequalified by WHO, on the basis of the scientific assessment and inspections conducted by the regulatory authority concerned, and the exchange of relevant information between the regulatory authority and WHO.

An inspection of a manufacturer or CRO may not be required if:
1. There has been an inspection by a SRA.
2. The inspection was conducted within the last three years.
3. Information on the inspection (including inspection report and responses to any deficiencies) is available for review by WHO.
4. Based on this and other available information, it is determined\(^1\) that the site(s) in question meet(s) the applicable WHO recommended standards.

With a view to coordinating inspection activities, avoiding duplication and promoting information-sharing without prejudice to the protection of any confidential and or proprietary information of the applicants and manufacturers in accordance with the terms of this procedure, WHO may disclose inspection-related information to regulatory authorities of WHO Member States as well as to regulatory authorities that are members of PIC/S.

5. **Invitation for expressions of interest**

The pharmaceutical products listed in an invitation for EOI are considered by WHO to be vital for the effective treatment and prevention of the specified diseases (including HIV/AIDS, malaria and tuberculosis) or for reproductive health. These products are normally included in either the WHO Model List of Essential Medicines or the relevant WHO treatment guidelines and recommendations (or both).

The products included in the WHO Model List of Essential Medicines are those that satisfy the priority health care needs of a population. They are selected, among other criteria, on the basis of disease prevalence, evidence on efficacy and safety and analysis of comparative cost-effectiveness. Products included in WHO treatment guidelines are selected on the basis of an assessment of the evidence for benefits, risks, costs and appropriateness for use in a variety of situations, taking into account the needs of special populations and the values and preferences of the groups (professional and patient) using them.

Each invitation will be open and transparent, inviting all relevant parties to submit an EOI for the pharmaceutical products listed. Such an invitation will normally be published on the WHO website and possibly also through other media, such as the international press.

In situations of high public health concern as determined by WHO, the Organization may also directly invite relevant parties to submit specified product dossiers for evaluation by WHO under this procedure without publication of an invitation for EOI.

---

\(^1\) Taking into account any known specific risk(s) associated with the product(s), the results of previous inspections conducted by WHO or a SRA, any complaints (if known), the scope and detail of the inspection report, the number and type of any GMP deficiencies reported, the comprehensiveness of the manufacturer's response and the timelines for implementation of corrective action(s).
6. **Data and information to be submitted**

Interested parties are expected to submit documentation on the pharmaceutical products as called for in the invitation for EOI. Applicants should submit their product dossiers with the required information to the WHO focal point, before the deadline specified in the invitation. Guidance and instructions developed for the submission of the dossiers are made available on the WHO website.

Normally the applicants who participate in the WHO prequalification scheme for pharmaceutical products are the manufacturers of the FPPs, as specified in the invitations for EOI. In the case that an applicant is not the manufacturer of the FPP, all relevant documentation, including (but not limited to) contract manufacturing documentation, should be submitted, demonstrating that the applicant is in full control of the manufacturing process for, and quality assurance of, the products submitted for prequalification.

In submitting an EOI for product evaluation, the applicant should send the following to the WHO focal point:
- a covering letter, expressing interest in participating in the WHO prequalification procedure and confirming that the information submitted in the product dossier is complete and correct;
- a product dossier, in the format specified in the WHO guidance documents on submitting product data and information;
- product samples, to enable visual examination and chemical and pharmaceutical analysis;
- a site master file (SMF) for each manufacturing site listed in the product dossier, in the format specified in the WHO guidance documents for submitting a site master file;
- a contract research organization master file (CROMF) for each clinical site listed in the dossier, in the format specified in the WHO guidance documents for submitting a CROMF.

All documentation should be submitted in English.

**Note from the Secretariat:** Previous brief information on documentation requirements and a list of aspects to be included for innovator products has been deleted. This is much better described in the guidelines referred to below.

For the purposes of this procedure, different requirements for documentation to be submitted apply to the following categories of products:

- Guide on submission of documentation for a multisource (generic) finished pharmaceutical product: quality part (working document QAS/10.373);
- Guide on submission of documentation for prequalification of innovator finished pharmaceutical products approved by stringent regulatory authorities (working document QAS/10.383); and
- Multisource (generic) FPPs approved by SRAs

The documentation requirements for each of the above categories can be found on the WHO website at [http://who.int/prequal/info_applicants/info_for_applicants_guidelines.htm](http://who.int/prequal/info_applicants/info_for_applicants_guidelines.htm) and on: [http://www.who.int/medicines/areas/quality_safety/quality_assurance/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/medicines/areas/quality_safety/quality_assurance/en/index.html). These requirements may be revised from time to time.
Multisource generic products must be shown, either directly or indirectly, to be therapeutically equivalent to the comparator product if they are to be considered interchangeable. WHO will maintain and make public the list of comparator products for this purpose. The WHO web site provides guidance on the evidence needed for a product to be considered equivalent without the need for in vivo equivalence studies (i.e. application of biowaiver).

If considered necessary or desirable by either party, and before the actual evaluation process starts, a discussion may be held between the manufacturer and WHO. This pre-evaluation meeting should be scheduled as early as possible with a predefined agenda to address questions sent in advance to WHO by the manufacturer.

7. **Screening of dossiers submitted**

Each product dossier submitted by an applicant will be screened for completeness before being evaluated. Dossiers submitted for products which are not listed in an invitation for EOI or have not otherwise been invited by WHO will not be accepted for assessment.

Similarly WHO will not consider dossiers that are incomplete. The applicant will be informed that an incomplete dossier has been received and will be requested to complete the dossier within a specified time period. In the event of non-compliance, the dossier may be rejected on grounds of incompleteness and returned to the applicant. Dossiers that are considered complete as the result of the screening will be retained by WHO for assessment.

After screening, if the dossier is accepted for assessment the applicant will be informed of this by letter. This letter will serve as an agreement between WHO and the applicant for the participation in prequalification and a commitment to comply with the provisions of the prequalification procedure.

8. **Dossier assessment**

The product information submitted in the dossiers will be assessed by teams of experts (assessors) appointed by WHO. The assessors involved in dossier assessment must have the relevant qualifications and experience in the fields of pharmaceutical development, quality assessment of pharmaceutical products, quality assurance, biopharmaceutics and other relevant fields. The assessors will be appointed in accordance with a standard operating procedure (SOP) established by WHO. The assessors should preferably be from national medicines regulatory authorities and they will act as temporary advisers to WHO. The assessors must comply with the confidentiality and conflict of interest rules of WHO, as laid down in the relevant sections of this procedure.

The assessment of product dossiers will be done in accordance with SOPs established by WHO for that purpose so as to ensure uniformity in evaluation and timeliness of assessment activities. If needed, WHO may provide training to these experts.

Following the assessment of each part of the dossier, a report will be provided to the applicant. Applicants are expected to submit responses to comments and any additional information that may be requested as soon as possible. Within one month, the applicant should inform WHO of the estimated timeframe required to address and respond to all queries. The procedure is usually
suspended (i.e. WHO will not undertake any further action) until all required responses and any
additional information are received by WHO.

Each applicant may request a hearing or meeting with the WHO experts involved in the
assessment of this applicant’s dossier to clarify issues identified by the WHO experts. WHO may
provide technical assistance to applicants regarding appropriate product information to be
submitted as well as production and control requirements.

9. **Site inspection**

WHO will plan and coordinate, in accordance with SOPs established by WHO and based on
quality risk management principles, the performance of inspections of the site(s) of manufacture
of the API(s) and the FPP, and of the clinical testing units or CROs.

The following factors will be taken into account when planning inspections:

- the results of previous inspection(s) by WHO or a SRA, and history of compliance of the
  company or facility with GMP, GCP and or GLP as appropriate;
- the outcome of the assessment of data submitted to WHO;
- complexity of the site, processes and product;
- number and significance of known quality defects (e.g. complaints, recalls);
- major changes to, e.g. buildings, equipment, processes, key personnel;
- site experience with manufacturing and testing of a product; and
- test results of official control laboratories.

The inspections of the manufacturing site(s) are conducted to assess compliance with GMP as
recommended by WHO and include data verification. Site master files submitted by the applicant
will be reviewed before an inspection is performed.

The inspections of clinical testing units or CROs are carried out to assess compliance with GCP
and GLP, and to perform data verification.

The WHO norms and standards applicable to inspections of APIs and FPPs, and of clinical testing
units or CROs, can be found on the WHO web site at
[http://who.int/prequal/assessment_inspect/info_inspection.htm#2](http://who.int/prequal/assessment_inspect/info_inspection.htm#2), and at:
requirements may be revised from time to time.

The inspections will be performed by a team of inspectors usually including experts appointed by
WHO, preferably from national medicines regulatory authorities inspectorates, who will act as
temporary advisers to WHO. The inspectors must have the relevant qualifications and experience
to perform such inspections, be competent in areas such as production and quality control of
pharmaceuticals, and have appropriate experience in GMP and GCP or GLP. The inspectors must
comply with the confidentiality and conflict of interest rules of WHO, as laid down in the relevant
sections of this procedure. If needed, WHO may provide training to these experts.

A WHO staff member will coordinate the team and will normally lead the inspection team. Each
team will perform the inspections and report on its findings to WHO in accordance with SOPs
established by WHO for that purpose so as to ensure a standard harmonized approach. A
representative of the national medicines regulatory authorities of the country of manufacture would normally be expected to accompany the team to the manufacturing and testing facilities to assess the compliance with GMP and GCP or GLP.

In accordance with SOPs established by WHO and based on quality risk management principles, an on-site inspection by a WHO inspection team may be waived and substituted by a desk review of requested inspection reports, corrective action and preventive action by the company and an acceptable product quality review report for the identified product.

10. Reporting and communication of the results of the evaluation

Each assessment and inspection team will finalize its reports according to the established WHO SOP and format, describing the findings and including recommendations to the applicant, manufacturer(s) and/or CROs where relevant.

The findings from the dossier assessment including, but not limited to, deficiencies of the documentation and data submitted, shall be communicated in writing to the applicant requesting submission of the missing data and information, as appropriate.

The inspection report will be communicated to the manufacturer or CRO as applicable. With the written agreement of the manufacturer or CRO (as applicable), a copy of the inspection report may also be provided to the applicant (if other than the manufacturer or CRO). If any additional information is required, or corrective action has to be taken by the manufacturer or CRO, WHO will postpone its decision on the acceptability of the site(s) concerned until such information has been evaluated or the corrective action has been taken and found satisfactory in light of the specified standards.

WHO reserves the right to terminate this procedure for a specific product if the applicant is not able to provide the required information or implement the corrective actions within a specified time period, or if the information supplied is inadequate to complete this procedure.

In the event of any disagreement between an applicant and WHO, an SOP established by WHO for the handling of appeals and complaints will be followed to discuss and resolve the issue.

As WHO is responsible for the prequalification procedure, the ownership of the reports lies with WHO. Thus, WHO shall be entitled to use and publish such reports subject always, however, to the protection of any commercially sensitive confidential information of the applicant, manufacturer(s) and/or testing organization(s). “Confidential information” in this context means:

− confidential intellectual property, “know-how” and trade secrets (including, e.g. formulas, programs, processes or information contained or embodied in a product, unpublished aspects of trade marks, patents, etc.); and
− commercial confidences (e.g. structures and development plans of a company).

Provisions of confidentiality will be contained in the exchange of letters, to be concluded before the assessment of the product dossier or inspection of the manufacturing and clinical sites, between WHO on the one hand and each applicant, manufacturer or CRO on the other hand.
Notwithstanding the foregoing, WHO reserves the right to share the full assessment and inspection reports with the relevant authorities of any interested Member State of the Organization and interested United Nations agencies.

11. **Outcome of the prequalification procedure**

Once WHO is satisfied that this procedure is complete for the relevant product, and that the WHO-recommended standards are met, the product, as manufactured at the specified manufacturing site(s), will be included in the list of prequalified pharmaceutical products. The list of prequalified pharmaceutical products will be compiled in accordance with an SOP established by WHO for final decision-making on inclusion in the list. The list will be published on the WHO web site and will specify the characteristics of the prequalified pharmaceutical products, as described in Appendix 2 to this procedure.

Each applicant will receive a letter of prequalification from WHO informing it of the outcome of the quality assessment process in regard of the submitted product(s). Once the product(s) are included in the list of prequalified pharmaceutical products, the applicant shall be responsible for keeping WHO continuously updated on all relevant aspects of the manufacture and control of such product(s) and to meet any requirements, as agreed with WHO.

In accordance with World Health Assembly Resolution WHA57.14 of 22 May 2004, WHO will – subject to the protection of any commercially sensitive confidential information – publish WHO Public Assessment Reports (WHOPAR(s)) on the product dossier assessments and WHO Public Inspection Reports (WHOPIR(s)) on the manufacturers and CROs that were found to be in compliance with WHO-recommended guidelines and standards. These reports will be published on the WHO web site. Subject always to the protection of commercially sensitive confidential information, WHO shall also be entitled to publish negative evaluation outcomes in accordance with SOPs established by WHO. These include notices of concern as well as notices of suspension.

The decision to list a pharmaceutical product is made based upon information available to WHO at that time, i.e. information in the submitted dossier and on the status of GMP, GLP and GCP at the facilities used in the manufacture and testing of the product at the time of the site inspection(s) conducted by WHO or at the time of the site inspection(s) conducted by a SRA, the outcome of which has been determined by WHO to meet the applicable WHO-recommended standards, in accordance with the terms of this procedure. This decision is subject to change on the basis of new information that may become available to WHO. If serious safety and/or quality concerns arise in relation to a prequalified product, WHO may delist the product after evaluation of the new evidence and a risk–benefit assessment, or may suspend the product until results of further investigations become available and are evaluated by WHO.

12. **Maintenance of prequalification status**

Applicants are required to communicate details to WHO of any changes (variations) in manufacture and control that may have an impact on the safety, efficacy and quality of the product.

Guidance on variations to prequalified dossiers as can be found on the WHO web site at [http://who.int/prequal/info_applicants/info_for_applicants_guidelines.htm](http://who.int/prequal/info_applicants/info_for_applicants_guidelines.htm) and on:
It is the applicant’s responsibility to provide WHO with the appropriate documentation (referring to relevant parts of the dossier) to prove that any intended or implemented variation will not have a negative impact on the quality of the product that has been prequalified. WHO will undertake an evaluation of variations according to the established WHO guidelines and SOPs and communicate the outcome to the applicant within the prescribed time lines. Adherence to the reporting requirements will be verified during the inspections carried out by WHO.

Random samples of prequalified products supplied by listed manufacturers or applicants will be taken for independent testing of final product characteristics. Certificates of analysis of final products released by the manufacturer and specifications for test methods should be provided by the manufacturer or applicant to WHO for review upon request. In the event of failure to meet the established criteria for testing, WHO will investigate the problem and communicate the outcome of this investigation to the manufacturer and applicant, if other than the manufacturer.

Complaints concerning prequalified pharmaceutical products communicated to WHO will be investigated in accordance with an SOP established by WHO for that purpose. After investigation, WHO will provide a written report of the problem and include recommendations for action where relevant. WHO will make the report available to the applicant/manufacturer, and to the national medicines regulatory authority of the country where the manufacturing site is located. Subject always to the protection of commercially sensitive information as referred to above, WHO shall be entitled to make such reports public. In addition, WHO reserves the right to share the full report with the relevant authorities of interested Member States of the Organization and interested United Nations agencies.

Manufacturers of prequalified pharmaceutical products and associated API manufacturers will be re-inspected at regular intervals as determined by WHO, but normally at least once every three years. Re-inspections are conducted to verify compliance with GMP as recommended by WHO and include data verification.

Furthermore, in order to maintain their prequalification status, WHO will arrange for prequalified pharmaceutical products to be requalified at regular intervals.

Every five years from the date of prequalification, or when requested to do so by the WHO Prequalification of Medicines Programme, the holder of a prequalified product is required to submit data and information in relation to the product to WHO for assessment. The purpose of this assessment is to verify that the product conforms to information and data submitted in relation to prequalification, conforms to current norms and standards, and to verify the consistency of the quality of the product and its manufacturing process(es) over the identified period.

The procedure and guidelines on the requalification of prequalified products can be found on the WHO web site at [http://who.int/prequal/info_applicants/info_for_applicants_guidelines.htm](http://who.int/prequal/info_applicants/info_for_applicants_guidelines.htm) and on: [http://www.who.int/medicines/areas/quality_safety/quality_assurance/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/medicines/areas/quality_safety/quality_assurance/en/index.html). These requirements may be revised from time to time. Re-inspection and/or requalification may also be performed:
- if any fraud or omissions by the applicant, manufacturer(s) of an FPP or API, or CROs in the initial assessment procedure or during the follow-up activities, becomes evident; and
if WHO or any United Nations agency considers that a batch or batches of supplied prequalified pharmaceutical products are not in compliance with the specifications which were found to be applicable upon prequalification.

If, as a result of re-inspection or requalification, it is found that a product and/or specified manufacturing site no longer complies with the WHO recommended standards, such products and manufacturing sites may be suspended or removed from the list of prequalified pharmaceutical products. Failure of a manufacturer or applicant to participate in re-inspection or requalification (as applicable) may also lead to suspension or removal from this list.

13. Cost recovery
WHO reserves the right to charge for this procedure on a cost recovery basis.

14. Confidentiality undertaking
The assessors and inspectors will treat all information to which they will gain access during the assessments and inspections, or otherwise in connection with the discharge of their responsibilities in regard to the above-mentioned project, as confidential and proprietary to WHO or parties collaborating with WHO in accordance with the terms set forth below.

Assessors and inspectors will take all reasonable measures to ensure that confidential information:
- is not used for any purpose other than the assessment/inspection activities described in this document; and
- is not disclosed or provided to any person who is not bound by similar obligations of confidentiality and non-use as contained herein.

Assessors and inspectors will not, however, be bound by any obligations of confidentiality and non-use to the extent they are clearly able to demonstrate that any part of the confidential information:
- was known to them prior to any disclosure by or on behalf of WHO (including by manufacturers); or
- was in the public domain at the time of disclosure by or on behalf of WHO (including by manufacturers); or
- has become part of the public domain through no fault of theirs; or
- has become available to them from a third party not in breach of any legal obligations of confidentiality.

15. Conflict of interest
Before undertaking the work, each assessor and inspector will also (in addition to the above-mentioned confidentiality undertaking) be required to sign a declaration of interest. If, based on this declaration of interest, it is felt that there is no risk of a real or perceived conflict of interest (or it is felt that there is only an insignificant and/or irrelevant conflict of interest), and it is thus deemed appropriate for the assessor or inspector in question to undertake this work, he/she will discharge his/her functions exclusively as adviser to WHO. In this connection, each assessor and inspector is required to confirm that the information disclosed by him/her in the declaration of interest is correct and complete, and that he/she will immediately notify WHO of any change in this information.
All inspectors furthermore agree that, at the manufacturer’s or CRO’s request, WHO will advise the manufacturer or CRO, in advance, of the identity of each inspector and the composition of the team performing the site inspection, and provide curricula vitae of the inspectors. The manufacturer or CRO then has the opportunity to express possible concerns regarding any of the inspectors to WHO before the visit. If such concerns cannot be resolved in consultation with WHO, the manufacturer or CRO may object to a team member’s participation in the site visit. Such an objection must be made known to WHO by the manufacturer or CRO within 10 days of receipt of the proposed team composition. In the event of such an objection, WHO reserves the right to cancel all or part of its agreement with, and the activities to be undertaken by, that inspector.

All references have been deleted. Instead, guidelines/procedures/norms/standards are referred in the text with a hyperlink to their location on the WHO web site. This makes them easier to find and also ensures that the current version is used.
Appendix 1
Flowchart of WHO prequalification of pharmaceutical products

1. **Expression of interest** (EOI) by applicant to participate in the WHO Prequalification Programme

2. **Receipt and processing of EOIs** and accompanying documentation by the WHO Prequalification Programme

3-A. **Assessment of dossiers** by WHO in two parallel tracks:
- quality part
- clinical part

**Communication with the applicant**
Results from dossier assessment (including deficiencies found) are communicated to the applicant. If corrective actions are required, WHO will postpone its decision on the acceptability of data and information.

3-B. **Inspection** in three parallel tracks:
- manufacturing site of finished pharmaceutical products
- manufacturing site of active pharmaceutical ingredients
- clinical research sites

**Communication with the applicant, manufacturer and CRO**
Results from inspections are communicated to the manufacturer or CRO, as applicable. If corrective actions are required, WHO will postpone its decision on the acceptability of the respective sites.

4. **Final decision on prequalification**
In the case that the product dossier and inspected manufacturing and clinical sites are found to be acceptable (i.e. to be in compliance with WHO-recommended standards).

5. **Listing of prequalified product and manufacturing site(s) on the WHO web site**
Publication of WHOPIRS and WHOPARs.

6. **Maintenance of list of prequalified products:**
sampling and testing, handling of variations and complaints, **re-inspection, requalification** etc. WHO may suspend or remove products from the list.
Appendix 2

**Characteristics of the prequalified pharmaceutical product to be made available for public access on the WHO web site**

— WHO product reference number
— International Nonproprietary Name (INN) of active pharmaceutical ingredient(s) (API(s))
— Dosage form and strength
— Trade name(s) of the product (if applicable)
— Name of applicant and official address
— Name of manufacturer of finished pharmaceutical product (FPP)
— Physical address of manufacturing site(s) (and unit, if applicable)
— Name of API manufacturer, physical address of manufacturing site(s) (and unit, if applicable)
— Product description (as in FPP specifications, i.e. coated, scored, etc.)
— Pack size(s), primary and secondary packaging material(s)
— Storage conditions
— Shelf-life (provisional, if applicable)
— Summary of product characteristics
— Package leaflet
— Labelling

***