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I) History:

The EMX Noise Field Technology (EMX Noise) is based upon research originated by the U.S. Army, Walter Reed Army Institute in 1986, initially performed by the Catholic University of America (CUA) in Washington D.C., and replicated by six other Universities in three different continents from 1993 to 2002.

The background for the initiative was numerous complaints from soldiers operating radar devices about different health effects. Specifically, the Army wanted to test whether the source of these effects might be the EMF fields surrounding the radar system.

The research was initially funded by the U.S. Army with a $3.9million grant and performed by an interdisciplinary team of 15 physicists, biochemists, biologists and engineers facilitated at the Vitreous State Laboratory of the CUA.

The question addressed under this grant was: Can EMF Fields cause biological effects?

After six years of comprehensive studies the CUA published at August 15th 1991 a scientific paper, titled:” Effect of Coherence time of the applied magnetic field on ODC activity”, in the scientific journal: “Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communication”.

In this paper CUA introduced the preliminary result that an exposure of mouse cells (L929 murine) to a regular 60Hz electromagnetic field doubled the activity in the cells of the critical enzyme, Ornithine Decarboxylase (ODC), which is involved in DNA and cell reproduction, i.e. the EMF field was shown to cause biological effects.

The 60 Hz EMF field used in these studies is within the so called extremely low frequency field (ELF) range (0 – 1,000 Hertz), but further research done by CUA also showed that the whole spectrum up to visible light, i.e. ELF, Radio frequency (RF) (1,000 Hertz – 0,8 Ghertz) and ELF Microwave, 0,8 Ghertz – 1 Ghertz) cause the same effects and responds equally to the EMX Noise field technology.

These findings were later replicated by the CUA thousands of times on chicken embryos still showing a doubling in the activity of ODC and a similar and possible linked increase in abnormalities in the spinal cord (so called: spina bifida).

The results were scientifically significant enough to convince the scientists at CUA, that
regular (constant) 60 Hz EMF fields used in the experiments were “bioeffective”. i.e., able to cause biological effects in living cells.

The answer to the question, whether EMF Fields could cause biological effects was therefore: yes, the studies involved could only lead to that conclusion.

Later in 1992 the US Army’s Walter Reed Institute stated to the U.S. Patent Authorities that the scientific work at the CUA was valid and would have lasting significance.

II. The EMX Noise Field Technology is born.

More important still, the scientists at the CUA discovered during their studies that the increase in ODC activity did NOT occur if they made the exposing field vary randomly between 55Hz to 65Hz at intervals of less than one second.

In every single case the tested random field, contrary to the regular field, provoked no cell response at all and appeared to be non-bioeffective.

To document this new experience and to develop the scientific findings into a possible protective device, CUA established a comprehensive research program for the following years.

In one research program, the scientists at CUA exposed a substantial number of chicken embryos and mouse cells to different random fields with exactly the same results. The random fields were without exception not bioeffective and the regular field had no impact on the cells as long as the cell’s exposure to the field was less than one second.

In 1993 the CUA scientists published these results in three papers in the scientific journal: “Electricity and Magnetism in Biology and Medicine”.

In one of these papers titled: “Superposition of a temporally incoherent magnetic field suppresses the change in ODC activity in developing chick embryos induced by a 60 Hz sinusoidal field”, the scientists drew the further logical conclusion, based upon sound physics, that by superimposing a random EMF field on the constant, bioeffective field, the total of the two fields should be random and therefore not bioeffective.

Their experimental results confirmed this statement; the first step of the development of the EMX Noise Field Technology had now been taken.

Based upon these initial findings several studies, peer reviewed and published by the CUA in scientific journals and sponsored by EMX Corporation, were completed during the following nine years, all showing that by superimposing the random EMX Noise on the bioeffective field the combined field became neutral and not biologically effective.

The scientific findings were afterwards accepted by the US Patent Authorities, which issued five patents in the period from Sept. 1995 to Sept 2002. Patent applications are pending in
III. External replication of the EMX Noise Field Technology.

In the same period these scientific findings by the CUA were replicated by six other leading Universities in USA, Europe and Asia, in the most comprehensive replication program ever undertaken in the field of bio electromagnetism:

Columbia University, New York, USA Professor Reba Goodman. Department of Pathology, College of Physicians and Surgeons. Sponsored by the Office of Naval Research, US Department of Energy and NIEHS.
EMF-enhanced gene expression (oncogenes, stress genes, household genes), EMF-induced stress response, EMF-induced suppression of neurotransmitter dopamine.

University of Washington, USA, Dr. Henry Lai. Bio electromagnetics Research Laboratory and Professor Baoming Wang. Department of Biomedical Engineering, Tianjin Medical University, China. Sponsored by EMX Corporation.
EMF induced memory loss in rats and DNA strand breaks in rat brain cells.

University of Western Ontario, Canada, Professor A.H. Martin. Department of Anatomy. Department of Biochemistry, Victoria Hospital. Sponsored by Health and Safety Agency, Ontario, Canada.
EMF induced changes in enzyme nucleotidase levels in chick embryo brain cells.

University of Aarhus, Denmark. Professor Sianette Kwee, Institute of Medical Biochemistry. Sponsored by Danfoss A/S Denmark.
EMF accelerated cell proliferation rate in human amnion cells.

University of Aalborg, Denmark. Professor P. Raskmark, Institute of Communication Technology. Sponsored by Danfoss A/S Denmark.
EMF accelerated cell proliferation rate in human amnion cells.

Zhejiang University, China. Professor H. Chiang et al., Bio electromagnetics Laboratory. Sponsored by EMX Corporation.
EMF induced suppression of the gap-junctional intercellular communication and enhancement in SAPK Phosphorylation activity.

Furthermore, Colorado State University, Burch et Al. 1998, Published in Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment and Health a scientific paper about EMF exposures influence on the level of the important hormone Melatonin showing that in utility workers the melatonin reduction due to the occupational exposure to EMF in the environment was dependant on the temporal stability of the field. The more constant the EMF properties, the larger the induced reduction in melatonin levels. A finding confirming the theory behind the EMX Noise field technology on living human beings.
IV) In the replication program the technology was tested on the following biological system and substances:

Human Lymphoma cells:  
*Catholic University, Washington*  
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Significant increase in activity of ODC. (Marker for growth and cancer – potential increased cancer risk).  
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No increase in ODC activity.

Human Leukemia cells:  
*Columbia University, New York*  
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Over-expression of cancer related gene, c-myc proto-oncogenes. (potential increased cancer risk)  
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No EMF response from c-myc proto-oncogenes.

Human breast cancer cells:  
*Columbia University, New York*  
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Onset of HSP90 stress protein production. (potential increased cancer risk)  
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No increase in HSP90 production.

Human Epithelial amnion cells:  
*Aalborg and Aarhus Universities*  
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Increased cell proliferation rate. (potential increased cancer risk).  
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No increase in cell proliferation rate.

PC-12 cells:  
*Columbia University, New York*  
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Decrease in the level of Neurotransmitter Dopamine. (potential increased risk for Parkinson's Disease).  
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No decrease in Dopamine level.

Mouse cells (murine L929 fibroblasts):  
*Catholic University, Washington*  
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Enhancement of ODC enzyme activity, involving DNA replication (marker for growth and cancer - potential increased cancer risk).  
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No increase in ODC activity.

*Zhejiang University, Shanghai, China:*  
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Significant inhibition of gap-junction intercellular communication. (Potential cancer promoter).  
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No inhibition of intercellular communication.
Chicken embryos:
*Catholic University, Washington, D.C.*
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Two-fold increase in ODC enzyme activity and truncal abnormality ratio. (Spinal cord and brain deformation).
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No Increase in ODC activity or incidence of truncal abnormality

*Catholic University, Washington, D.C.*
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Significant decline in HSP70, heat shock protein, and Cytoskeleton. (Potential cancer promoter).
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No increase in HSP70.

*University of Western Ontario, Ontario, Canada*
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Suppression of activity of Nucleotidase-enzyme related to DNA production. (involved in the development of the central nervous system).
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No suppression of enzyme activity.

Rat’s Brain cells:
*University of Washington, Washington State*
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Significant deficit in learning. Short term memory loss.
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No learning deficit or memory loss.

*University of Washington, Washington State*
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Significant increase in the level of DNA single and double strand breaks. (Potential cancer promoter).
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No increase in DNA breakage.

Hamster Lung CHL cells:
*Zhejiang University, Shanghai, China:*
Impact of exposure to EMF field: Significant increase in level of Stress-activated protein kinase SAPK Phosphorylation. (Potential increased cancer risk).
Impact of superimposing the EMX Noise field: No increase in SAPK phosphorylation.

All seventeen studies showed biological effects from exposure to EMF fields and all documented the ability of EMX noise field to neutralize the effects on the tested biological systems and cell substances.

II) Further documentation for EMF fields causing biological effects:

Besides the above listed biological effect tested with the EMX noise field, numerous studies, independent of the EMX research program, demonstrate significant biological effects caused by EMF fields such as:

Chromosomal damage in human blood cells. Maes et al., 1993, Nordenson et al., 1994 and 1996.


Change in Melatonin metabolism in electric utility workers. Burch et al., 1998.


These studies, along with those mentioned above, provide compelling evidence that biological effects can occur due to exposure to EMF fields.

V) The physical basis for the EMX technology:

The physical ability of an EMF field to establish biological effects ("bioeffects") in living cells and tissues is based on three different elements, the Energy, the Intensity and the Structure. If one of these components actually can cause changes in the cellular system, the field is considered bioeffective.

The fourth dimension, the length of the exposure or the accumulated exposure over time is decisive for whether the biological effects are beneficial, neutral or adverse to the biological system. It is a matter of doses.

Studies have shown, that short term or few times exposure (up to half an hour in a couple of days) to EMF actually can stimulate the defense system of the cells and thereby constitute a beneficial effect, a principle known from hospital magnetotherapy.

On the other hand if the exposure is long term or repetitive (which is mostly the case in the use of electric equipment and cellphones) this effect might change from beneficial via neutral to adverse to the cellular system.

Thus as the three components: energy, intensity and structure are the key to whether biological effects occur or not, the time of the exposure is the decisive factor for whether the effects are adverse or not.

a) Energy: The element of the EMF field, which can promote the biological effect via direct cell damage.

The power of EMF fields carrying high energy (number of photons higher than visible light) can cause biological effects directly by breaking chemical bonds and damaging the cells, in
which case the field is called ionizing.

Below visible light the fields carry a lower number of photons and thereby do not contain power enough to damage, in which case the fields are called non-ionizing; (Fields from electric household and office appliances and Cell Phones are such non-ionizing fields).

b) Intensity: The element of the EMF field, which can promote the biological effect via thermal damage.

EMF fields carrying a high intensity (number of waves) above 10 watts/kg SAR (Standard Absorption rate) can heat up and ultimately damage the cell by directly raising its temperature. This is the case inside a microwave oven dedicated to cooking tissues.

Most countries have set standards for the approved exposure of human beings to 2 watts/kg SAR, significantly below the 10 watts heating threshold. China has recently lowered the standard to 1 watt/kg SAR, which should bring the exposure out of the potential heating range.

If the fields carry a low intensity below 10 watts/kg SAR and thereby not enough power to heat tissues it is called: non-thermal. (Fields from electric household and office appliances and Cell Phones are all non-ionizing and non-thermal).

c) Structure: The element of the EMF field, which can promote all other biological effects than direct damage by energy and damage by heating.

EMF fields structured with a constant frequency, amplitude and waveform can cause biological effects even if the SAR intensity is lower than 10 watts/kg and even though the intensity is too low to create any significant rise in temperature (probably only a millionth of a degree) in the exposed tissue. These fields are considered nonthermal, and it is the structure of these fields, which makes the field biologically active, without any heating involved. (Fields from electric household and office appliances and Cell Phones are non-ionizing, non-thermal and constant in pattern).

According to the laws of physics and biology, the structure of the EMF fields (frequency, amplitude and waveform) needs to be constant not only in time (intervals) but also in space (covering the exposed cells across the entire surface) in order for the field to act like a (digital information) signal, able to communicate and interact with the cellular system.

One of the important papers demonstrating that a non-ionizing, nonthermal and constant EMF field carrying low energy and low intensity still can create biological effects is: Professor Reba Goodman et al. "Electromagnetic field exposure induces rapid, transitory heat shock factor activation in human cells", 1997.

If the EMF field structure has no regular pattern or signal it is considered noise and not bioeffective; only if the structure is patterned in a constant way is the field able to trigger biological effects in the cell.
Thus the constant signal structure is the trigger of the nonthermal biological effects just as energy is the trigger of direct cell damage and intensity is the trigger of the heating-related effects on the cell.

VI) The biological basis for the technology.

As demonstrated, the non-ionizing, non-thermal EMF field carrying a constant signal is capable in transmitting a message into the cellular system of animals and human beings.

This signal is characterized as a warning message informing the cellular system about the EMF exposure, just as if it was exposed to a real threat such as potential damage due to ionizing radioactivity, x-ray, overheating, toxic chemicals, bacterial attacks etc.

Despite the fact that the nonthermal EMF field lacks the energy and intensity to harm the cellular system directly, the response on the biological level to this false alarm is still triggered, which undesirably can exhaust the cell’s defense system and makes it vulnerable to real attacks.

However, a condition for triggering the cell’s response is that the constancy of the EMF field is at least one second, as it takes that amount of time for the cellular system in humans and animals to respond to the exposures. Where the constancy of the EMF field exceeds one second, the EMF signal is able triggers the sensors in the cell membranes, and thereby transmit the warning message into the cellular system, triggering a cascade of events in the cellular biological system.

Strong evidence for this sequence of events is provided by at least 50 studies showing that EMF exposure triggers the sensors at the cell membrane level. These studies are addressed in a 1996 paper, published by professor W.R. Aden and titled: “A growing scientific consensus on the cell and molecular biology mediating interactions with environmental electromagnetic fields”.

Furthermore research supporting the that EMF fields, by triggering the sensors in the cell membrane, actually can cause the activation of messenger enzymes like Tyrosine Kinase, has been published in different scientific papers, where the most important ones are three studies published by Loscher et al. in 1998, Harvey et al. in 1999, and Dibirdik et al in 1998.

As demonstrated the responding sensors transmit the warning message through the messenger enzymes in the cell to the nucleus, which hereafter in self-defense activates a variety of biological effects in the cell metabolism, such as changes in the activities of the genes, hormones, enzymes and proteins, all putting the cell in a stress mode designed to protect the cell against interference from the environment.


This mechanism is nature’s emergency procedure and should be beneficial or protective,
which seems to be the case at shorter exposures.

However, if the exposure is repetitive for a longer accumulating period, generally being the case at EMF exposure, it can establish an almost permanent red alert state, which can involve an exhaustion of the cellular repair system, a condition that in the end can suppress the production of the some of the most important repair enzymes and stress proteins and thereby downregulate their ability to function.

This downregulation of the cellular repair system is a serious condition, as the cells continually need to maintain efficient repair of their different biomolecules (among them the DNA molecule) constantly being bombarded and damaged (unfolded) by free radicals and other reactive species.

If the repair enzymes are stressed and thereby unable to repair (fold) the DNA molecules, the ultimate repair tool, the stress proteins, should be activated making the enzymes functional again.

Yet, if the stress protein is exhausted too due to repetitive exposure to the EMF field, this process will not be activated and the molecules will remain un-repaired.

In case of un-repaired DNA molecules, the dysfunctional molecule can either die or transform itself into an abnormal molecule with damaged chromosomes, a so called: micronuclei, in both cases constituting a possible health effects, such as Cancer, Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s diseases.

If the abnormalities appears in the brain, cancer is most likely to happen in the areas, where the cells have the ability to multiply whereas the areas where the cells cannot reproduce Alzheimer’s disease is a possible effect.

Several studies among them two studies done by the Catholic University demonstrate this downregulation as a consequence of repeated EMF exposure: DiCarlo et al., Bioelectromagnetics circulation, 1999, “Myocardial protections conferred by electromagnetic fields” and DiCarlo et al. Bioelectrochemistry, “Electromagnetic field-induced protection of chick embryos against hypoxia exhibits characteristics of temporal sensing”.

As shown nonionizing, nonthermal EMF Fields are not able to damage the cellular system directly due to lack of sufficient energy or intensity, but the self created stress mode (down regulation) creates the only risk involved in the exposure to these powerless EMF field. It is the response to the exposure inside the cell system itself, which causes the biological effects and not the exposure as such.

However, because of the reaction mechanism in the cellular system, no matter the length of the exposure a certain constancy in the pattern of the field is still needed before the exposure of the sensors actually results in an effective message, detectable in a responsive form by the nucleus. The studies involved and general biological knowledge documents the fact, that it takes a
second for the cellular defense system to respond to exposure from the surrounding environment.

By cutting the exposure of the sensors into fragments of less than a second, the warning system is in consequence interrupted and the exposure is neutralized. The defence system is untouched and no biological effects can possible occur.

*This is exactly what the EMX Noise Field Technology does.*

**VII) The EMX Technology in a nutshell.**

The EMX Noise Field is effectively cutting the EMF Field in fragments smaller than a second, which interrupts the membrane sensor’s ability to send a responsive warning signal to the nucleus.

By superimposing the EMX Noise Field on the bioeffective EMF field, the sensors detect only a randomized signal that is the sum of the two fields. This process results in the warning system being neutralized, the cell not responding and a consequent absence of biological effects. This is the basic principle of the EMX Technology.

Since no health effects can occur without an initial biological change, the EMX Noise Field Technology effectively eliminates any health effects that might arise from exposure to regular EMF fields.

**VIII) The EMF issue.**

Due to the level of understanding of the EMF issue the discussion and the scientific efforts should at this point of time focus on the potential link between the recognized biological effects and the possible resulting health effects or rather the risk for health effects tied to the biological effects.

It is the opinion of EMX Corporation, that it is shown beyond reasonable doubt in numerous laboratory studies that biological effects can occur due to exposure to EMF fields and these biological effects may be a risk factor for serious health effects.

Since a biological effect is the first step in the chain which could lead to health effects and thereby an absolutely necessary requirement for a health effect to occur, EMX Corporation has designed the EMX Noise Field Technology to eliminate or block the effects from EMF fields on the biological level in order at the same time to protect against the possible risk for health effects.

If the biological effects are eliminated, the risk of health effects is also eliminated.
IX) Studies suggesting a link between EMF exposure and health effects

It is a well-known fact that the EMF issue is still surrounded by controversy. Anyway a substantial number of epidemiological studies and scientific publications show a possible link between EMF exposure and biological and health effects.

More than fifty epidemiological studies:
Although the link between the recognized biological effects and the health effects is not scientifically proven yet, more than fifty important epidemiological studies suggest that EMF exposure is associated with an increased risk of diseases, most commonly Cancer, Alzheimer’s and Parkinson’s disease.

A considerable number of laboratory studies:
Also a considerable number of significant laboratory studies from universities and laboratories around the world show health effects due to EMF exposure.
The most prominent is the Royal Adelaide Hospital study in Australia, funded by Telstra, showing a doubling of tumors in EMF exposed mice and the paper published in 1966 by D. Jacobson from George Washington University showing chromosomal damage among 34 exposed employees at the US Embassy in Moscow.
Even research done by the Cell Phone Industry Association in US, found a link between EMF exposure and cancer. Dr. George Carlo: “Cell Phones – invisible hazards in the wireless Age”.

Controversy:
However other studies show no effects at all, so the discussion is still ongoing and it is not possible based upon the existing epidemiological and laboratory studies alone to make a clear, unconditional statement about the health issue at this point in time.

But since the majority of epidemiological and laboratory studies suggest a link between EMF exposure and Health effects, the only prudent response to the issue is to be careful and take whatever precaution is available to protect against the potential risk. Otherwise the explanation of the phenomenon and the final proof might be too late.

X) Public Statements about Possible Biological and health Effects

Based upon the existing epidemiological and laboratory studies the following public statements have been made to guide the users of electric equipment.

The Vienna Resolution: In 1968, at a scientific conference on biological and health effects of EMF exposure from cellular phones at the University of Vienna, the following solution was adopted: “The participants agreed that biological effects from low-intensity exposures are scientifically established.”

Sweden’s National Board of Industrial and Technical Development: (Date?) “We will proceed on the assumption that there is a connection between exposure to lower frequency magnetic fields and cancer, in particular, childhood cancer.”
European Parliament Resolution, 1992: “...according to an increasing number of epidemiological and experimental studies, even slight exposure to nonionizing electromagnetic fields increases the risk of cancer...”

U.S. National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), 1997: The vast majority of the members of the advisory panel voted for the following conclusion: “Extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields should be regarded as possible carcinogens.”

Wireless Technology Research (an independent group funded by the cell phone industry), Dr. George Carlo, former chairman: “WTR has found links between cellular phone use and cancer.”

Department of the Army, Walter Reed Institute of Research, Colonel Edward C. Elson, 1992:

“As a major user of radio frequency and extremely low frequency energy in the field, the Army is closely following the epidemiological studies on health and the laboratory investigations, especially that of The Catholic University of America group, as the evidence accumulates, the Army will consider application of the Litovitz technique of ameliorating possible adverse effects of electromagnetic energy. I and others monitoring the research are persuaded that the phenomena described are valid and that the work will have lasting significance.”

XI) Specifics about the cellular phone.

The cell phone emits two EMF fields, one from the antenna related to the transmission, which is a microwave (continuous from Analog and CDMA phones and ELF-modulated from GSM and TDMA phones) and one from the two circuitries inside the handset, which is an ELF field. Both type of fields can as verified above be neutralized by the EMX Noise Field Technology.

XII) Conclusion:

It is demonstrated in the majority of published scientific studies, that nonthermal EMF fields in the ELF, RF and microwave frequency area can cause biological effects, which can be, but not necessarily are, harmful to the exposed human or animal cellular system.

The biological effects recognized in the research program around the EMX Noise Field Technology are all first steps in a possible adverse development in the cellular system involved.

Some of the effects are acknowledged promoters of cancer, Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases.

However it is not proven at this stage, that the first step actually results in a disease further down the line and it is still to be found whether the self-defense system ultimately manages to stop the development before the changes in the cellular system trigger the disease.

It will probably take another decade before the final answer can be given to the link between
EMF exposure and diseases, but at that point it might be too late.

For a prudent participant in this area, however, the fact that EMF Fields can cause fundamental biological effects should lead to a consideration of whatever precautions are available and the EMX Noise field Technology is the only scientifically documented precaution related to the EMF issue.

California, January 15th 2003

J.P. Folsgaard Bak
Chairman
EMX Corporation

**TABLE 1. The effectiveness of the EMX Bioprotection Technology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laboratory / Team testing</th>
<th>Biological System tested</th>
<th>Biological condition tested</th>
<th>Biological effect Induced by EMF</th>
<th>Effectiveness of EMX Technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catholic University Krause &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Mouse cells</td>
<td>Activity of Osmithine Decarboxylase (ODC) – Enzyme related to growth and cancer</td>
<td>EMF cause a two-fold increase in enzyme activity relative to natural level – condition related to cancer</td>
<td>Natural condition restored: Enzyme activity brought back to normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic University Krause &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Human lymphoma cells</td>
<td>Activity of ODC</td>
<td>Significant increase</td>
<td>Natural condition restored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic University Doynov &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Chicken embryos</td>
<td>Ratio of trunca abnormalities in embryo</td>
<td>EMF cause more than a doubling in abnormality ratio</td>
<td>Abnormality ratio brought back to natural level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic University Farrell &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Chicken embryos</td>
<td>Activity of ODC</td>
<td>Significant distortion from natural level</td>
<td>Natural condition restored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Western Ontario Martin &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Chicken embryos</td>
<td>Activity of Nucleotidase – Enzyme related to DNA production</td>
<td>EMF suppress enzyme activity compared to natural level</td>
<td>Natural condition restored: Enzyme activity brought back to normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Western Ontario Martin &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Hatched chickens</td>
<td>Activity of Nucleotidase (cerebellum)</td>
<td>Enzyme activity suppressed compared to normal</td>
<td>Natural condition restored: Activity normalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia University, N.Y. Lin &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Human leukemia cells</td>
<td>Transcription of c-myc proto-oncogene (cancer related gene)</td>
<td>Over-expression of c-myc proto-oncogene compared to normal level – increased cancer Risk</td>
<td>Natural condition restored: Proto-oncogene expression brought back to normal level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia University, N.Y. Goodman &amp; Co.</td>
<td>Human breast cancer cells</td>
<td>HSP90 stress protein</td>
<td>EMF cause the on-set of stress protein production</td>
<td>Cells released from stress condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia University, N.Y. Opler &amp; Co.</td>
<td>PC-12 cells</td>
<td>Dopamine. Hormone related to Parkinson’s Disease.</td>
<td>EMF cause a decrease in the level of dopamine compared to normal condition</td>
<td>Natural condition restored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic University Litovitz &amp; Co.</td>
<td>L929 Murine (mouse) cells</td>
<td>ODC activity</td>
<td>Cellular phone EMF signals Increase activity from normal level</td>
<td>Natural condition restored: Enzyme activity normalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution</td>
<td>Type of Cell</td>
<td>Effect of EMF</td>
<td>Condition of Cells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aalborg and Aarhus Universities</td>
<td>Human epithelial amnion cells</td>
<td>Cell proliferation rate</td>
<td>EMF increase cell proliferation rate by 20% compared to natural level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic University Di Carlo et Al</td>
<td>Chicken Embryos</td>
<td>Activation of HSP70 Heat shock protein and Cytoprotection level (Potential cancer promoter)</td>
<td>Long term exposure to EMF causes significant decline in HSP70 and Cytoprotection level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Washington Henry Lai, P. Singh</td>
<td>Rat Brain Cells</td>
<td>Level of DNA single and double strand breaks (Potential cancer promoter)</td>
<td>Significant Increase in the level of DNA single and double strand breaks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Washington Henry Lai, P. Singh</td>
<td>Rats</td>
<td>Spatial learning</td>
<td>Significant deficit in learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang University, China Zeng, Chiang Et Al</td>
<td>Mouse Fibroblast Cells</td>
<td>Cap-Junction intercellular communicator GJIC (Potential cancer promoter)</td>
<td>Significant Inhibition of GJIC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhejiang University, China Zeng, Chiang Et Al</td>
<td>Hamster Lung CHL cells</td>
<td>Level of Sapk Phosphorylation (SAPK)</td>
<td>Significant Increase in the SAPK Phosphorylation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal condition restored. Cell proliferation rate brought back to normal.
REFERENCES


Ahuja et al., “Comet assay to evaluate DNA damage caused by magnetic fields”, Proceedings International Conference on Electromagnetic Interference & Compatibility (December 1997), Hyderabad, India.


Trosko, J., et al., Environmental Health Perspectives, October 2000.


41 Grant, G., and Steinberg, G., “Protection against focal cerebral ischemia following exposure to a pulsing electromagnetic field”, Electricity and Magnetism in Biology and Medicine, 723 (1993).

