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ABSTRACT

Following a request from the European Commission, the Scientific Committee on Health, Environmental and Emerging Risks reviewed recent evidence to update the 2006 Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Consumer Products on the Biological effects of ultraviolet radiation (UVR) relevant to health, with particular reference to sunbeds for cosmetic purposes. The term “sunbed” refers to all types of UV tanning devices used for cosmetic purposes.

UVR, including UVR emitted by sunbeds, is a complete carcinogen, as it acts both as an initiator and a promoter. Based on the available scientific evidence the Committee concludes that there is strong evidence that exposure to UVR, including that emitted by sunbeds, causes cutaneous melanoma and squamous cell carcinoma at all ages and that the risk for cancer is higher when the first exposure takes place in younger ages. There is also moderate evidence that exposure to UVR, including that emitted by sunbeds, also increases the risk of basal cell carcinoma and ocular melanoma.

The beneficial effects of sunbed use, such as generation of vitamin D, are outweighed by the adverse effects. There is no need to use sunbeds to induce vitamin D production because alternative sources of vitamin D are readily available.

There is no threshold level of UV-irradiance and UV-dose for the induction of skin cancer. Therefore, there is no safe limit for exposure to UV radiation from sunbeds.
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Figure 1. The electromagnetic spectrum and the wavelength bands.
Comparison of solar- and sunbed-irradiance

Quelle: Photomed Bundesfachverband Solarien und Besonung e.V.

Artificial tanning devices (a Webinar)
WHO, Geneva, June 28, 2017
UV-radiation, including UVR emitted by sunbeds, is a complete carcinogen, group 1 carcinogen (IARC 2009), as it acts as an initiator and a promoter.

Initiation:

If DNA-lesions are mis-repaired they will lead to mutations, „UV-signature mutations“, causing skin cancer.

Promotion:

UVA and UVB cause immunosuppression, which promotes the development of skin cancer because the immune system can not fight early stages of cancer cells.
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Artificial tanning devices (a Webinar)
WHO, Geneva, June 28, 2017
Sunbed-UV is a very potent inducer of mutations

A 15 min. sunbed session, reaching 1 minimal erythema dose (MED), equivalent to a dose of 300J/m² for a skin type II, produces 10,000-100,000 pre-mutagenic DNA-lesions in every exposed skin cell!
UVA and UVB from sunbeds cause the induction and promotion of all types of skin cancer.

### Skin Cancer Types

- **Basal Cell Carcinoma (BCC)**
  - Induced by sunbed-UV
  - Second most frequent skin cancer worldwide.
  - Mortality: 1-2%

- **Squamous Cell Carcinoma (SCC)**
  - Induced by sunbed-UV
  - Mortality: 1-5%
  - Depending on location as high as 30%

- **Malignant Melanoma (MM)**
  - Induced by sunbed-UV
  - Skin cancer with highest mortality (20-25% of diagnosed cases).

---

Artificial tanning devices (a Webinar)
WHO, Geneva, June 28, 2017
### Hochrechnungen Hautkrebserkrankungen Deutschland 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Art</th>
<th>Gesamt</th>
<th>Männer</th>
<th>Frauen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malignes Melanom, invasiv</td>
<td>25.106</td>
<td>12.581</td>
<td>12.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignes Melanom, in situ</td>
<td>11.335</td>
<td>5.316</td>
<td>6.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignes Melanom, gesamt</td>
<td>36.441</td>
<td>17.896</td>
<td>18.545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basalzellkarzinom</td>
<td>156.260</td>
<td>79.260</td>
<td>77.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinozelluläres Karzinom, invasiv</td>
<td>51.770</td>
<td>30.550</td>
<td>21.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinozelluläres Karzinom, in situ</td>
<td>46.220</td>
<td>20.980</td>
<td>25.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinozelluläres Karzinom, gesamt</td>
<td>97.990</td>
<td>51.530</td>
<td>46.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hautkrebs gesamt</td>
<td>290.691</td>
<td>148.686</td>
<td>142.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Datenquelle: Krebsregister Schleswig-Holstein, 2017 – Diagnosejahr 2014*
The beneficial effects of sunbed use, such as the generation of vitamin D, are outweighed by the adverse effects. There is no need to use sunbeds to induce vitamin D production, because alternative sources of vitamin D are readily available.

(Wolpowitz und Gilchrest 2006)

There is no threshold level of UV-irradiance and UV-dose for the induction of skin cancer. Therefore, there is no safe limit for exposure to UV radiation from sunbeds.

Skin cancer induction is a stochastic process. These processes do not show threshold. No levels for irradiance or dose exist below which the risk of skin cancer is zero. At lower doses or irradiances only the probability for skin cancer induction becomes lower, but it’s not zero.
An irradiance of

- 0.7 W/m² = UVI of 28 (International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC)) or
- 0.3 W/m² = UVI of 12 (European Norm Standard (EN60335-2-27:2013))

is, therefore, **not safe at all!**
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Exposures from sunbeds

Prevalence
The prevalence of sunbed use varies greatly from one country to another and according to sex and age. Prevalence of sunbed use for tanning purposes is higher in white-skinned populations from Northern Europe, and in young or middle-aged women.

A recent meta-analysis (Wehner et al., 2014) of data from 16 Western countries including 406,696 participants showed that the overall summary prevalence of ever exposure to indoor tanning was as high as 35.7% for adults, 55.0% for university students (US studies only), and 19.3% for adolescents. The summary prevalence of past year exposure was 14.0%, 43.1% for university students (US), and 18.3% for adolescents, and higher among women compared with men. This meta-analysis further showed an increase in prevalence of sunbed use over time.

Trends in UV irradiance
Sunbed UV emitters have varied in the mix and intensity of UVA and UVB generated. Data from countries where restrictions in sunbed use have been introduced indicated a reduction of the prevalence of use. It is currently estimated that UV emission of a modern tanning appliance corresponds to an UV index of 12, i.e. equivalent to midday Equatorial sun. However there are large variations in the UV output of different machines and inspections showed violations of the maximum values. The UV spectrum emitted by tanning machines has evolved in recent years towards higher UVA irradiance.
# Prevalence of indoor tanning (Wehner et al., 2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure by Group</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Female Participants</th>
<th>Male Participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summary Prevalence (95% CI)</td>
<td>No. of Records</td>
<td>Summary Prevalence (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever exposure</td>
<td>35.7 (27.5-44.0)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>39.8 (30.0-49.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past-year exposure</td>
<td>14.0 (11.5-16.5)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19.0 (14.7-23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past-year exposure</td>
<td>18.3 (12.6-24.0)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21.3 (8.5-34.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US University students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever exposure</td>
<td>55.0 (33.0-77.1)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>69.3 (45.4-93.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past-year exposure</td>
<td>43.1 (21.7-64.5)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64.9 (41.2-88.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adolescents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever exposure</td>
<td>19.3 (14.7-24.0)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31.5 (22.3-40.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past-year exposure</td>
<td>18.3 (12.6-24.0)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21.3 (8.5-34.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Health effects: Melanoma

SCHEER review (studies published since 2006):
- 4 meta-analyses

All four recent meta-analyses show a consistent increased risk of approximately 20% for melanoma with ever use of artificial tanning. The two meta-analyses (IARC 2006, 2007, Boniol et al., 2012a) that examined risk by age at first use both show a more pronounced risk when exposure began at a younger age (+59%).

In addition, the two meta-analyses (Boniol et al., 2012a, Colantonio et al., 2014) that investigated dose-response both indicate an increasing risk with increasing sunbed use. Therefore there is strong evidence in the meta-analyses of a significantly increased risk from cutaneous melanoma associated with sunbed use. The risk increases with the number of sessions and frequency of use.
Health effects: Melanoma

- 9 case-control studies
The majority of the recent case-control studies show significantly increased risks of melanoma associated with sunbed use. Most have a large sample size and adjust for relevant confounders. The excess risk of melanoma associated with ever using a sunbed varied from 40% to double the risk. Only one study, in the UK, found no risk (but was unusual in design).

There is also moderate evidence from a few of the reviewed studies that the risk of melanoma increases with increasing number of sessions and increasing frequency of use (number of sessions per year).

- 3 cohort studies
All three most recent cohort studies show an increase in melanoma risk (up to double in one study) associated with sunbed exposure at a younger age.

In addition, since all analyses were adjusted for host factors and for sun exposure, they also suggest that sunbed use adds a specific risk of melanoma independently from individual susceptibility and behaviour in the sun.
Health effects: Non-Melanoma skin cancers / Ocular Melanoma

• NMSC
4 meta-analyses, 5 case-control studies, 2 cohort studies
There is consistent evidence from individual studies and meta-analyses of an increased risk of squamous cell carcinoma and to a lesser extent for basal cell carcinoma, especially when exposure takes place at a younger age.
Ever use of sunbeds approximately doubles the risk of SCC; the evidence of an increase of BCC is weaker being between 10% and 30%. Regular use and increasing years of use result in an increased risk of NMSC.

• Ocular melanoma
A new study (Schmidt-Pokrzywniak et al., 2009) adds data to support the SCCP 2006 conclusion that 'there is some evidence that sunbed use is associated with ocular melanoma', with the risk increasing when exposure started at a younger age.
Risk characterization

Although the increase in melanoma risk due to sunbed use may appear modest in the general population (+15%, according to the 2006 IARC report), most of the risk concentrates in the population that started sunbed use before the age of 35 (+75%, according to the 2006 IARC report, +59% in a more recent meta-analysis by the same team – Boniol et al., 2012a - and up to more than +200% for frequent use in the 10–39 years period – Veierød et al., 2010).

Based on figures in the meta-analysis of Boniol et al. (2012b) with a relative risk of 1.59, 37% of melanoma cases would be caused by sunbeds use among individuals who exposed themselves to sunbeds before the age of 35.

Sunbed use is associated with increased risk of early-onset melanoma (Ghiasvand et al., 2017).

Thus, the fraction of risk attributable to sunbed use in patients diagnosed with a melanoma before the age of 30 may be very high: 76% in Australia among those who had ever used a sunbed and were diagnosed between 18-29 years of age, (Cust et al., 2011), and 43% in France (Boniol et al., 2010).
Health effects of sunbeds: conclusions

Based on the available scientific evidence SCHEER concludes that there is strong evidence that exposure to UVR, including that emitted by sunbeds, causes cutaneous melanoma and squamous cell carcinoma at all ages and that the risk for cancer is higher when the first exposure takes place in younger ages.

There is also moderate evidence that exposure to UVR, including that emitted by sunbeds, also increases the risk of basal cell carcinoma and ocular melanoma.

There is no threshold level of UV-irradiance and UV–dose for the induction of skin cancer. Therefore, there is no safe limit for exposure to UV radiation from sunbeds.
Thank you!