KEY MESSAGES

In many countries, millions of people suffer because they cannot get the health care they need - or because paying for health services plunges them into poverty.

Elsewhere, in countries where health services have traditionally been accessible and affordable, governments are finding it increasingly difficult to respond to the ever growing needs of their populations and the increasing costs of services.

By adjusting their health financing systems, sometimes a little, sometimes a great deal, every country can increase health coverage and reduce the suffering associated with illness and the need to pay for services. This report shows how.

THE CHALLENGE: The world is still a long way from universal coverage, where all people have access to health services without the fear of financial hardship associated with using them.

Millions of people miss out on health care altogether because they can't afford to pay for it.

In some countries, just 10% of live births are attended by a skilled health worker. Women in the richest 20% of the population are up to 20 times more likely to have a birth attended by a skilled health worker than a poor woman. (Executive Summary, page x)

Each year, 100 million people are pushed into poverty because they have to pay directly for health services at the point of delivery (p5):

- In some countries, 5% of the population is forced into poverty each year because they have to pay for health services at the time they receive them (page 5).
- Financial hardship is not restricted to low and middle income countries: almost 4 million people in just six OECD countries (Greece, Portugal, Mexico, Republic of Korea, Hungary and Poland) experienced forms of financial hardship because they had to pay directly for health care (page 9). Medical debt has been the principal cause of personal bankruptcy in the USA (page 9).
- To eliminate financial catastrophe and impoverishment caused by direct, out-of-pocket payments for health services, these forms of payment should make up less than 15% -20% of total health expenditure in a country (page 40). In 33 mainly low- and middle-income countries, direct payments still represent more than 50% of total health expenditures (page xiv).
WHO RECOMMENDATIONS:

All countries, rich and poor, can do more to move closer to universal coverage or to protect the gains they have made in the past. This World Health Report offers practical guidance on ways to increase health service coverage, improve health outcomes and protect people from financial catastrophe and impoverishment linked to using services. Building on country experiences, it presents options in three broad areas:

1. Raising sufficient money for health using innovative approaches, as well as from traditional ones.

2. Raising funds largely through "prepayment" rather than direct out-of-pocket payments, and then using consolidated arrangements that pool together resources for better distribution of financial risks among the population.

3. Spending money more efficiently and equitably.

1. Raising sufficient funds for health. This can be done by:

   ➤ Making health a higher priority in existing government spending

   - In 2001 the heads of state of the African Union promised to increase this proportion to 15%, but only three countries (Liberia, Rwanda and the United Republic of Tanzania) achieved this commitment in 2007 - with substantial external support (page 25).

   - 49 low-income countries could between them raise an additional US$ 15 billion per year for health from domestic sources by increasing health’s share of total government spending to 15% (page 25).

   ➤ Making revenue collection more efficient

   - In Indonesia clear and consistent regulations and a policy of zero-tolerance for corruption increased tax yield from 9.9% to 11% of non-oil GDP over four years; health spending benefited more than other sectors (page 26).

   ➤ Diversifying sources of revenue. Possible options include:

   - Value-added taxes: Ghana funded its national health insurance partly by increasing the value-added tax (VAT) by 2.5% (page 27).

   - "Sin" taxes, particularly on tobacco and alcohol: a 50% increase in tobacco tax alone would yield an additional US$1.42 billion just 22 low income countries for which sufficient data exists (page 28).

   - A currency transaction levy would be feasible in many countries. For example, if India were to implement a levy of 0.005% on foreign exchange transactions, US$370 million per year could be raised (page 28).

   - Solidarity levies - Gabon raised US$ 30 million for health in 2009 by imposing a 1.5% levy on companies handling remittances and a 10% tax on mobile phone operators (page 30).
Increasing external support.

- An average of US$ 44 per capita is required to ensure access to even a small set of quality health services in low income countries (page 22).
- 31 countries spend less than US$ 35 per person on health even though donor assistance has increased in recent years (page 23).
- Donor assistance has increased substantially but still accounts for less than 25% of all health spending in the typical low-income country. Few of them will be able to scale up domestic funding sufficiently to achieve the Millennium Development Goals without additional inflows (page 23).
- If all donor countries were immediately to keep their current overseas development assistance pledges, the estimated shortfall would be virtually eliminated - and more than 3 million lives saved by 2015 (page 32).
- Innovative ways of raising funds at the global level for health will be critical to supplement traditional development assistance. The currency transactions levy has the greatest potential and studies suggest it would have no impact on the viability of the financial sector (page 27-28).

2. Relying largely on forms of prepayment (e.g. insurance and/or taxes) to raise funds, then pool them to ensure access and spread financial risks. This means minimizing reliance on direct, out-of-pocket payments.

- 27 OECD countries currently cover all their citizens with a set of health interventions from pooled funds (page 8) supplemented with limited direct out-of-pocket payments, such as co-payments, co-insurance, deductibles and other charges.
- It is possible to make substantial progress towards reducing direct payments, increasing prepayment and covering all people from pooled funds even at lower levels of national income. Chile, Colombia, Mexico, Rwanda, Thailand and Turkey have all made significant progress in the last decade - as have Brazil, China, Costa Rica, Ghana, Kyrgyzstan and the Republic of Moldova (page 8).
- These countries have chosen different mechanisms, mostly involving some mix of tax-based funding with various forms of mandatory insurance, although community based insurance and micro-insurance can play a valuable role in the early stages.
- Where people are allowed to opt-out of making contributions, it is difficult to ensure that everyone has access to needed services of good quality. The rich and the healthy opt out and the poor and sick are left with poor services.

3. Improving efficiency and equity.

Typically between 20% - 40% of health spending is wasted, depriving many people of badly needed care. Increasing efficiency is a way of increasing
coverage, financial protection and health outcomes for the available resources, not a way to reduce funds for health.

The report identifies ten typical areas where improvements might be sought (page 63). These include:

 stricter unnecessary expenditure on medicines, using them more appropriately and improving quality control.

- Better use of medicines could save countries up to 5% of their health expenditure (page 72).
- France’s strategy of generic substitution led to savings equivalent to US$ 1.94 billion in 2008 (page 64).
- More than half of all medicines globally are prescribed, dispensed, or sold inappropriately and half of all patients fail to take their medication as prescribed or dispensed (page 65).
- Some countries pay more for medicines than others - in some places prices are up to 67 times the international average price (page 62).

strict Improving hospital efficiency.

- Hospital care often absorbs from half to two thirds of total government spending on health (page 67).
- Almost US$ 300 billion is lost annually to hospital-related inefficiency (page 67)
- A recent review of more than 300 studies found that, on average, hospitals could achieve 15% more than they do now without spending any more (page 67)
- There is no strong evidence that public facilities are any more or less efficient than private hospitals (page 68). Efficiency can be improved everywhere.

Choosing the right interventions:

- In many cases, health authorities opt for high-cost, low-impact interventions, over lower-cost, higher-impact ones e.g. shifting to a more cost-effective mix of treatments for malaria could reduce costs by 20% in Zambia (page 71).
- Ensuring the right mix between prevention, promotion and treatment will ensure more health for the money (page 70).

Finding incentives that work:

- Paying for performance can be a useful tool to improve efficiency, quality and even equity, but more research is required to evaluate its real impact (page 76).
- Paying providers a fee for service tends to encourage overuse. Increased demand and payment to doctors per intervention has increased use of Caesarean section deliveries in 69 of the 137 countries for which information is available - costing those countries an estimated US$ 2 billion per year (page 74).
- Other payment mechanisms can slow down the increase in costs. "Capitation" is one: paying primary care providers a predetermined fee to cover all the health needs of each person registered with them can also encourage a focus on prevention (page 74).

Avoiding fragmentation

- Systems with multiple funding channels and pools can duplicate effort and be more expensive to run. Some countries have streamlined: the Czech Republic, for example, has now combined different funds into a single pool (page 49).

- Fragmentation leads to less effective service delivery. In Estonia, injecting drug users were targeted by both HIV and drug-abuse programmes - which contracted service delivery separately with different NGOs. Both benefited when the government introduced a single contracting process, combining resources and packaging the interventions of both programmes (page 78).

- Donor efforts, even if well intended, have also increased fragmentation by multiplying the ways funds are held globally and transmitted to countries; services are delivered; and the use of funds and outcomes are monitored and evaluated. More than 140 global health initiatives currently run in parallel. The Rwandese government has to report on more than 890 health indicators, 595 relating to HIV and malaria alone (page 101).