Telephone Consultation Update:
Additional information on tetanus risk and male circumcision method
12 August 2016

1. Open session

Call in number:
+41 58 262 07 22   Access code: 599446

The key questions for consideration to the Technical Advisory Group on Innovations in Male circumcision:
1. Should the current WHO advice stay the same?

2. Should the current WHO advice be revised? If yes, make suggestion to WHO.

3. Should the overarching recommendation for the use of MC devices for male circumcision contained in “WHO guideline on the use of devices for adult male circumcision for HIV prevention” be revised?

That WHO prequalified male circumcision devices are efficacious, safe and acceptable as additional methods of male circumcision for HIV prevention among healthy men 18 years and older in high HIV prevalence, resource-limited settings (conditional recommendation, moderate quality evidence).
### Agenda

**OPEN SESSION 1400 – 1530**  
*Chair, Tim Hargreave*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Speaker/Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1400 – 1415 | • Welcome, agenda and process and introduction of Chair  
• Brief background  
• Description of documents that WHO will consider, which documents are confidential and why  
• TAG Members will consider the submissions to recommend to WHO and outcomes possible | **Andrew Ball**  
Chair, Tim Hargreave |
| 1415 – 1420 | **SUBMISSION**  
EngenderHealth on Shang Ring research | **Mark Barone** |
| 1420 – 1430 | TAG request for clarifications | |
| 1430 – 1445 | **SUBMISSION**  
CircMedTech on PrePex | **Eddy Horowitz, CEO, CircMedTech**  
*Alon Kushnir, VP Regulation and Clinical Affairs* |
| 1445 – 1505 | TAG Member points for clarifications on CircMedTech | |
| 1505 – 1520 | Responses:  
Biological plausibility  
In writing by LThwaites  
TAG Member points for clarification | **Ian Poxton**  
Professor Emeritus, Microbial Infection and Immunity, University of Edinburgh |
| 1515 | Other questions if time available | |
| 1530 | Closing | |